MATTERS OF GRAVITY:

The conflict "Dark Matter vs MOND" in the solar system



João Magueijo Theoretical Physics Group Imperial College

Based on

Bekenstein & Magueijo, **PRD73 (2006) 103513;** Bevis, Magueijo, Trenkel, Kemble, **CQG 27 (2010) 215014** Trenkel, Kemble, Bevis, Magueijo, arXiv:1001.1303 Magueijo & Mozaffari PRD85 (2012) 043527; and arXiv:1204.6663.

The schism "dark matter vs modified gravity" is an old story

 LeVerrier prediction of Neptune from Uranus orbital anomalies.
 VERSUS

Attempts to explain the anomalous precession of the perihelion of Mercury with "Vulcan"



The matter has become very topical

- Everything outside the Solar system refuses to follow the laws of General Relativity/ Newtonian gravity
- Either gravity is fine, but there is an extra source we can't see: the dark matter (dark energy).
- Or the observations are telling us to modify gravity: MOND.

We need a *direct* detection!

Dark matter searches: the game is over if a dark matter particle is detected!

The equivalent "backyard" detection for MOND is solar system gravity testing.

(Spiral) Galaxy rotation curves:

Flattening of rotation curves

 $v \rightarrow v_{\infty}$







The dark matter solution:

ADM halo:

$$M \propto r$$

$$\rho \propto \frac{1}{r^2}$$

$$\frac{GM}{r^2} = \frac{v^2}{r} \Longrightarrow v \to const$$

Mysteries remain
10⁻¹⁰

$$a_0 \approx 10^{-10} m s^{-2}$$

7

$$v_{\infty}^4 \propto L \propto M$$

Halo not stable under its own gravity

Take these facts as "Kepler's laws" for a new theory of gravity

• Milgrom's insight: $a \le a_0$ $F = m \frac{a^2}{a_0} \Rightarrow \frac{GM}{r^2} = \frac{v^4}{a_0 r^2}$

A better formulation:

$$F_N = |\nabla \varphi| \le a_0 \Longrightarrow F = \sqrt{F_N}$$

(often quoted as a rule of thumb)

In fact a consistent theory must be more complicated:

A modified Poisson equation

 $\nabla \cdot \left[\tilde{\mu}(|\nabla \Phi|/a_0)\nabla \Phi\right] = 4\pi G\tilde{\rho}, \qquad \tilde{\mu}(x) = x(1+x)^{-1}$

 (there are several relativistic, Lagrangian formulations leading to this)

$$\nabla \cdot \left[\mu (kl^2 (\nabla \phi)^2) \nabla \phi\right] = kG\tilde{\rho}$$

Tensor Vector Scalar theory Bekenstein, Phys. Rev. D 70, 083509 (2004); JHEP Pos (jhw2004) 012

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{Gravitation} & S_g = (16\pi G)^{-1} \int g^{\alpha\beta} R_{\alpha\beta} (-g)^{1/2} d^4x \\ \text{Matter} & S_m = \int \mathcal{L}(\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu}) f^{\alpha}, f^{\alpha}{}_{|\mu}, \cdots) (-\tilde{g})^{1/2} d^4x \\ \text{Vector} & S_v = -\frac{K}{32\pi G} \int (g^{\mu\nu} g^{\mu\nu} U_{[\alpha,\mu]} U_{[\beta,\nu]}) (-g)^{1/2} d^4x \\ \text{Constraint} & S_c = \frac{1}{16\pi G} \int \lambda (g^{\mu\nu} U_{\mu} U_{\nu} + 1) (-g)^{1/2} d^4x \\ \text{Scalar} & S_s = -\frac{1}{2} \int [\sigma^2 g^{\alpha\beta} \phi_{,\alpha} \phi_{,\beta} + \frac{G\sigma^4}{2\ell^2} F(kG\sigma^2)] (-g)^{1/2} d^4x \\ \text{Interaction} & S_i = \frac{1}{2} \int \sigma^2 (g^{\mu\nu} U_{\mu} \phi_{,\nu})^2 (-g)^{1/2} d^4x \end{array}$$

LISA Pathfinder mission



LISA Pathfinder as Gravitational Laboratory

• LISA Pathfinder and its Payload will offer the following (see ESA-SCI(2007)1):

Differential Force Measurement Sensitivity:
 ≈ 1.3x10⁻¹⁴N / √Hz around 1mHz

 Drag-Free Platform Stability: Platform Free-Fall Quality of ≈ 10⁻¹³ms⁻²/ √Hz around 1mHz ≈ 10⁻⁹ms⁻² at DC







The Big Picture: an ellipsoidal bubble where effects are large

$r_0 = 383 \text{Km}$ Earth/Sun $(r_0 = 9.6 \times 10^5 \text{Km}$ Jupiter)





THE ELEPHANT IN THE ROOM

 Lagrange points VS.
 Saddle points.



Potential Trajectories for LISA Pathfinder

Trajectory with lowest miss distance found:



- Miss distance 600km
- Transfer time from nominal orbit departure 410days
- Lunar flyby (60000km) after 300days

Beyond the cartoon, part I

- There are mathematical complications with this simplified calculation:
- MONDian magnetic field,
- Details of general "relativistic" MONDian theories

A taste of the complications $\nabla \cdot [\mu(|\nabla \phi|)\nabla \phi] = kG\rho$ $u = \frac{4\pi\mu}{k}\nabla\phi$ $\nabla \cdot u = 4\pi G\rho$ $\nabla \wedge \frac{u}{\mu} = 0$ $u = F^{(N)} + V$ $V \wedge h$

The basic picture: an ellipsoidal bubble where effects are large

$r_0 = 383 \text{Km}$ Earth/Sun $(r_0 = 9.6 \times 10^5 \text{Km}$ Jupiter)





Outside (perturbative) region

- Maximal fractional effect is at the border ellipsoid
- It then falls off as 1/r^2



The inner region profile

 The tidal stress explosion is there but it's much softer due to the curl term

$$\frac{\delta F}{F} \propto \left(\frac{r}{r_0}\right)^{-0.24}$$



In relativistic theories MOND effects are due to an extra field

Specifically:

$$\Phi = \Phi_N + \phi$$

The extra field has equation:

6

$$\nabla \left[\mu \left(\frac{\kappa}{4\pi a_0} |\nabla \phi| \right) \nabla \phi \right] = \kappa G \tilde{\rho}$$

The free function is heavily constrained by the following considerations:

In the Newtonian (non-relativistic, non-MONDian) limit:

$$\nabla^2 \Phi_N = 4\pi G\rho$$
$$\nabla(\mu \nabla \phi) = \kappa G\rho$$

$$\tilde{G} = G\left(1 + \frac{\kappa}{4\pi}\right)$$

 ϕ must then be subdominant ($\kappa \sim 0.03$)

This places constraints on the free function:



Thus MONDian regime in ϕ must start at accelerations higher than a_0

In the Newtonian limit: $\tilde{G} = G\left(1 + \frac{\kappa}{4\pi}\right)$

 $\phi \text{ must then be subdominant} (\kappa \sim 0.03)$ Must trigger MONDian behaviour in ϕ at much larger Newtonian accelerations:

$$a_N = \left(\frac{4\pi}{\kappa}\right)^2 a_0 \sim 1.75 \times 10^5 a_0 \sim 10^{-5} \,\mathrm{ms}^{-2}$$

ANYWAY..... What are the predictions?

Transverse tidal stress at impact 25, 100, 400 Km of the Earth-Sun saddle



The coincidence of the century



Simulating the signal and noise



This can be quantified better:

Borrow noise-matched filters from gravity wave detection (but with a significant simplification: we know where the template starts).

The SNR is, as usual:

Fourier transform of Template

$$SNR = 2 \left[\int_0^\infty df \frac{|\tilde{h}(f)|^2}{N(f)} \right]^1$$

Noise power spectrum

 $^{\prime}2$





Simulating the signal and noise



SNR for different b and noise at v=1.5Km/sec



The effect of the velocity at b=50 Km





Beyond the cartoon, part II:

A practical matter: the Moon is a clear perturbation. Is this going to be a pain?

NO!





The Earth-Sun saddle is stable



Transverse tidal stress at impact 25, 100, 400 Km of the Earth-Sun saddle





Is the lunar saddle any good?





Potential Trajectories for LISA Pathfinder

Illustration of the chaotic nature of the problem:



Single dV manoeuvres between 0.5m/s and 1m/s applied at 0.25 day intervals

How generic a test of MOND is this?

• Most of viable $\mu(y)$ in literature have essentially the same behaviour in the regime being tested They differ in the fall off They differ where ϕ takes over the Newtonian potential (not probed by LPF).







Newtonian force per unit mass F_N (ms⁻²)



What about different types of theory?



What kind of theory could survive a negative result?

A double power law in µ(y) would bypass a negative result



A negative result would be pretty damning

A double power law in $\mu(y)$ Id bypass a negative result

The intermediate power would have to be very large
 RIDICULOUS!

Conclusions:

We have produced detailed predictions for a LPF signal for the Earth-Sun (and Moon) saddles
 SNR ratios hit double/triple figures even with modest assumptions on impact parameters and noise
 A negative result would kill MOND in most relativistic incarnations

Let's do the experiment! It will answer many questions.

Is dark matter a "Vulcan"?

Is modifying gravity unwarranted lunacy?

