Gravitational wave emission from glitching and bursting neutron stars

Ian Jones

Mathematical Sciences, University of Southampton, UK



Overview: neutron stars as burst sources

- > Young pulsars **glitch**.
- Magnetars flare.
- Low-mass X-ray binaries burst.



Crab pulsar





(日)

SGR 1900+14

LMXB

Which normal modes are excited, to what amplitudes, and what are the GW/EM implications?



What is a glitch?

- Most of the time pulsar spin frequencies gradually decrease.
- Occasionally some younger pulsars undergo sudden spin-ups.



Fractional variation in spin frequency small, e.g.

$$rac{\Delta\Omega}{\Omega} \sim 10^{-6}$$

for Vela; this is considered a violent glitchier!

Data base of Espinoza et al (2011) has 315 glitches in 102 pulsars.



Glitch energies: a naive estimate

In absence of detailed model, can make a 'naive' estimate:

$$E_{\text{glitch}} = I\Omega\Delta\Omega = I\Omega^2 \frac{\Delta\Omega}{\Omega}.$$

Parameterising with Vela in mind:

$$\begin{split} E_{\rm glitch} \sim 4.95 \times 10^{42} \, \mathrm{erg} \, \left(\frac{f_{\rm spin}}{11.2 \, \mathrm{Hz}}\right)^2 \left(\frac{\Delta \Omega / \Omega}{10^{-6}}\right), \\ h_{\rm rss} \sim 10^{-22} \, \mathrm{Hz}^{1/2} \left(\frac{287 \, \mathrm{pc}}{r}\right) \left(\frac{f_{\rm spin}}{11.2 \mathrm{Hz}}\right) \left(\frac{1 \, \mathrm{kHz}}{f_{\rm GW}}\right) \left(\frac{\Delta \Omega / \Omega}{10^{-6}}\right)^{1/2}. \end{split}$$

But can all this energy be put into modes, and if so which?



The Vela glitch paper: f-modes

- Search for 'fundamental' f-mode excitation following a Vela glitch was carried out (Abadie+ 2011).
- Looked for damped sinusoids with $f \sim 1-3$ kHz, $\tau \lesssim 0.5$ s.
- Found energy release $\Delta E_{\rm GW} \lesssim 10^{45}$ erg.



- How is actual excitation energy spread over different *m*-values, and how to search for such a signal? (Work with James Clark).
- And who says f-mode is the one most excited



Transient sources: r-modes

► For r-modes, mode frequency ~ rotation frequency:

$$|f_{\rm mode}| \approx \frac{4}{3} f_{\rm star}.$$

Decay time determined by dissipation timescale, not gravitational radiation reaction. For Levin & Ushomirsky (2001) model of the viscous boundary layer:

$$\tau_{\rm viscosity} = \tau_{\rm LU} \sim 700 \, \mathrm{s} \, \frac{T_8}{F^{1/2}} \left(\frac{11.2 \, \mathrm{Hz}}{f_{\rm spin}}\right)^{1/2} \left(\frac{\delta v}{v}\right)^{-2}$$

► In the regime of a *transient* GW search.

Transient sources: r-modes cont ...

- R-mode transient search investigated by Santiago-Prieto, Heng, DIJ & Clarke (2012).
- Not all of the glitch energy goes into GWs:

$$\Delta E_{\rm GW} = \frac{\tau}{|\tau_{\rm GRR}|} E_{\rm mode}.$$

In fact, this is very inefficient:

$$\frac{\tau_{\rm LU}}{\tau_{\rm GRR}} \approx \frac{\Delta E_{\rm GW}}{E_{\rm glitch}} = 6.76 \times 10^{-7} \frac{T_8}{F^{1/2}} \left(\frac{f_{\rm spin}}{62\,{\rm Hz}}\right)^{11/2} \left(\frac{\delta u}{u}\right)^{-2}$$

Not encouraging, but must remember damping rather uncertain.



Transient sources: r-modes cont ...

Making use of real data (plot from Santiago-Prieto):





The starquake model

- Outer part of neutron star is a solid elastic crust.
- As star spins down, **strains** build up in crust.
- Once critical breaking strain reached, crust fractures, and settles down to new less oblate state.
- Conservation of angular momentum demands a corresponding increase in the spin frequency.



The starquake model: simple estimates

For star of ellipticity ε, angular momentum J = I(1 + ε)Ω, can write energy as:

$$E = E_{\text{sphere}} + A\epsilon^2 + B(\epsilon - \epsilon_0)^2 + \frac{J^2}{2I(1 + \epsilon)}$$

where $A \sim$ gravitational binding energy, $B \sim$ electrostatic binding energy of solid crust, and crust relaxed when $\epsilon = \epsilon_0$.

Minimising at fixed angular momentum gives 2-parameter family:

$$\epsilon = \epsilon(\Omega, \epsilon_0) = rac{I\Omega^2}{4(A+B)} + rac{B}{A+B}\epsilon_0 \equiv \epsilon_\Omega + \epsilon_{
m def}.$$



The starquake model: simple estimates cont ...

Can use model to estimate glitch energy:

$$\Delta E \sim \Delta E^{\text{naive}} \frac{2Bu}{I\Omega^2}$$

where $u \sim \text{strain}$ in crust.

Parameterising:

$$\Delta E \sim 4 imes 10^{-2} \Delta E^{
m naive} \left(rac{B}{10^{48} \, {
m erg}}
ight) \left(rac{u}{0.1}
ight) \left(rac{11.2 \, {
m Hz}}{f_{
m spin}}
ight)^2.$$



The problem with the starquake model

Strain relieved at glitch is of order

$$\Delta u_{\rm G} \sim rac{\Delta \Omega_{\rm G}}{\Omega}.$$

$$\Delta u_{\mathrm{IG}} \sim \epsilon_\Omega \frac{\Delta t_{\mathrm{IG}}}{ au}$$

where $\tau \sim \Omega/\dot{\Omega}$, the characteristic age.

- For Crab, $\Delta u_{IG} \sim \Delta u_{G}$.
- For Vela, $\Delta u_{IG} \ll \Delta u_{G}$.
- This is clearly a problem–Vela can't replenish strain between its glitches!



What is a glitch? A closer look

- Some pulsars have undergone *multiple* glitches.
- $\blacktriangleright~\sim$ 1% of spin-down reversed in glitches.
- Taken as evidence that about 1% of moment of inertia decoupled from smooth spin-down.





Basics: Superfluid neutron stars

Can model star as a mixture of:

- 1. Superfluid neutrons;
- 2. Charged particles (protons & electrons).
- The superfluid neutrons rotate by forming an array of vortices:





Radio pulsars: glitches

- Area density of vortices determine rotation rate.
- For smooth spin-down, vortices migrate outwards at a rate $\propto \dot{\Omega}$, to allow for smoothly decreasing area density.
- Pinning model: some of the superfluid vortices are rigidly attached to the solid phase, preventing them from undergoing smooth spindown.
- When a sufficiently large angular velocity lag has built up catastrophic unpinning occurs, corotation is established, spinning up the charged part of the star.



The pinned superfluid model: simple estimates

 Conserve angular momentum between two rigidly rotating components, the 'crust' and the 'superfluid'; find

$$\Delta E \sim 10^{-4} \Delta E^{\text{naive}} \left(\frac{10^{-2}}{l_{\text{s}}/l_{\text{c}}} \right) \left(\frac{\Delta \Omega / \Omega}{10^{-6}} \right).$$

More accurate treatments

- van Eysden and Melatos (2008) looked at two-component of infinitely long cylinder.
- Sidery, Passamonti, Andersson (2009) looked at two-component spherical spin-up using time evolutions.
- Want to go back to basics and build up mode excitation model to:
 - 1. Assess validity of simple estimates;
 - 2. Clearly identify modes excited;
 - 3. Assess GW detectability;
 - 4. Assess EM signature (e.g. in radio pulsar data).

Towards accurate treatment of starquakes

- Subject of Lucy Keer's PhD.
- Treat neutron star as a self-gravitating incompressible elastic sphere, satisfying:

$$\rho \frac{d\mathbf{v}_{a}}{dt} = -\nabla_{a} \mathbf{P} - \rho \nabla_{a} \Phi + \mu \nabla^{2} \xi_{a}, \tag{1}$$

$$\nabla^2 \Phi = 4\pi G \rho, \qquad (2)$$

$$\nabla_a \xi^a = \nabla_a v^a = 0, \tag{3}$$

$$P = P(\rho). \tag{4}$$

- Divide solution into two parts:
 - 1. An axisymmetric stationary rotating background;
 - 2. Perturbations with frequency ω , i.e. modes.

The main idea in pictures

The main idea in words

- Can can compute structure of stars A, B and D as equilibrium solutions.
- C is out of equilibrium; need to supply physical model of glitch to relate C to B.
 - We currently model C as same as B, but with all shear strain removed.
 - Not very realistic (or optimistic), but simple.
 - Have completed Ω_B = Ω_C = Ω_D = 0 case, now working on more realistic rotating case.
- Write 'initial data' (C-D) in terms of normal modes of D.

The modes: frequencies

The modes: eigenfunctions

ヘロト ヘ回ト ヘヨト ヘヨト

Projecting the initial data onto the modes

energytest2.pdf

Projecting the initial data onto the modes

energytest2.pdf

・ロト ・四ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

Towards more realistic models

- Have calculated mode excitation for a highly idealised glitch model of a simple star.
- Results consistent with simple $E = E(\Omega, \epsilon_0)$ calculations.
- Want to increase realism by:
 - Allowing for rotation in final state (in progress);
 - Having fluid core/elastic crust;
 - Allowing for incompressibility;
 - Having more realistic model of starquake itself.
- No surprises yet, but for each new ingredient in stellar model, you get new set of modes.
- Will hopefully soon go beyond regime where simple estimates are a good guide.

